

BUILDING 886 STATUS MEETING MINUTES

December 10, 1997

Representatives from CDPHE, EPA, DOE, K-H, and RMRS met for an additional scoping meeting regarding the 886 Cluster Decommissioning Project. The following elements were discussed.

- Kaiser-Hill introduced personnel, then described their intention to unify and standardize the D&D process and documentation at RFETS.
- The 886 Cluster was described and a cluster map and Building 886 floor plans were distributed. The buildings included in the 886 Cluster are 886, T886A, 828, 875 (including the underground tunnel), 888A, and 880. It was also discussed that Building 888, the Guard Shack, is not currently included in the cluster, but will be impacted by the 886 Decommissioning. It was suggested that perhaps the Guard Shack should be included with the cluster. The general condition and current radiological controls were also discussed.
- A summary level of funded and unfunded FY98 activities was presented. The agencies inquired on the likelihood of obtaining funding for the remaining work and the implications of developing an IM/IRA without follow up funding. Concerns were expressed over the utility of the January 5 deadline for the IM/IRA. It was explained that DOE and K-H intended to complete the initial planning as baseline type effort, and that this would be used either immediately or eventually to plan the remainder of the work.
- A brief explanation of the D&D cluster categorization was presented. It is assumed, although reconnaissance characterization is not yet complete, that Building 886 will be a Type 2 Building. The remaining building will thus also be treated as Type 2 buildings.
- Outlines for the Reconnaissance Level Characterization Report and IM/IRA were presented along with the project's approach for these documents. The main issue centered on the approach for the IM/IRA which the project plans to develop as a decision document to demolish the buildings, then follow up with detailed work plans specific to each work area. Considerable discussion followed as to the expectations for an IM/IRA with the regulators expressing concerns that the project's approach would not provide enough details at the time of the decision document to address their concerns and functions. The discussion then turned to the collaboration effort describe in RFCA and how the agencies should be involved. They stated the project's approach should be to involve the agencies with the planning not only during the development of the decision document but after the decision document (IM/IRA) is signed.
- The path forward was discussed with a follow up meeting and tour of the 886 Facility tentatively scheduled for January 13, 1997 at 0800.



ADMIN RECORD

B886-A-00004